

REMARKS

Claims 2-5, 7, 8, 10-14, 20-28, 35-38, 40, 41, 43-47, 54-58, 68-71, 73, 74, 76-80 and 86-88 are pending in the application.

Claims 2-5, 7-8, 10-14, 20-28, 35-38, 40-41, 43-47, 53-58, 68-71, 73-74, 76-80 and 86-88 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Anawave Websnake, version 1.23, Anawave Corporation ("Anawave").

Applicant traverses these rejections, because Anawave fails to disclose each and every element of the claims, arranged as in the claims for the following reasons.

Anticipation under 35 U.S.C. § 102 requires that the reference disclose each and every element of the claims, arranged as in the claims.

Claim 11 recites, *inter alia*, "receiving a single request at a Web server from a client computer, the single request identifying a desired Web page" and "sending an archive file containing the site map to the client computer in response to the single request."

By contrast, Anawave discloses "Off-line Browsing" with a software program that is launched from the "Start Menu" in the Windows operating system. (Anawave, page 10). The claimed invention receives a request at a web server from a client computer and sends an archive file to the client computer in response. Anawave does not receive any request at a web server; instead "Create a site map of a website" is selected from a menu in a "Project Wizard" program running in the Windows operating system on a PC, not at a web server. (Anawave, pages 22-24).

Therefore, claim 11 is not anticipated by Anawave, because Anawave fails to disclose the claim element "receiving a single request at a Web server from a client computer, the single request identifying a desired Web page".

Claims 2-5, 7-8, 10, 12-14, 20-25, and 86 depend, directly or indirectly, from claim 11 and, thus, inherit at least the patentable subject matter of claim 11. Therefore, Anawave also fails to anticipate claims 2-5, 7-8, 10, 12-14, 20-25, and 86.

For the same reasons as given with respect to claim 11, Anawave also fails to anticipate claim 26, because claim 26 recites, *inter alia*, "sending a single request to a Web server from a client computer, the single request identifying a desired Web page".

Claims 27 and 28 depend, directly or indirectly, from claim 26 and, thus, inherit at least the patentable subject matter of claim 26. Therefore, Anawave also fails to anticipate claims 27 and 28.

For the same reasons as given with respect to claim 11, Anawave also fails to anticipate claim 44, because claim 44 recites, *inter alia*, "receiving a single request at a Web server from a client computer, the single request identifying a desired Web page".

Claims 35-38, 40, 41, 45-47, 53-58, and 87 depend, directly or indirectly, from claim 44 and, thus, inherit at least the patentable subject matter of claim 44. Therefore, Anawave also fails to anticipate claims 35-38, 40, 41, 45-47, 53-58, and 87.

For the same reasons as given with respect to claim 11, Anawave also fails to anticipate claim 77, because claim 77 recites, *inter alia*, "a client computer connected to said network, said client computer configured to provide a single HTTP request to said Web server, said single HTTP request identifying a desired HTML page in said web site, said Web server configured to generate a site map based on the single HTTP request, the site map including the desired HTML page and to send an archive file containing a site map to said client computer in response to said single HTTP request, said site map restricted in scope".

Claims 68-71, 73, 74, 76, 78-80, and 88 depend, directly or indirectly, from claim 77 and, thus, inherit at least the patentable subject matter of claim 77. Therefore, Anawave also fails to anticipate claims 68-71, 73, 74, 76, 78-80, and 88.

For all the reasons advanced above, it is respectfully submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. Accordingly, reconsideration and allowance of the claims are respectfully requested. The Examiner is cordially requested to telephone, if the Examiner believes that it would be advantageous to the disposition of this case.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment, which may be required for this amendment, to Deposit Account No. 09-0463. In the event that an extension of time is required, or may be required in addition to that requested in any petition for an extension of time, the Commissioner is requested to grant a petition for that extension of time which is required to make this response timely and is hereby authorized to charge any fee for such an extension of time or credit any overpayment for an extension of time to Deposit Account No. 09-0463.

Respectfully submitted,

By: *Lea A. Nicholson*

Lea A. Nicholson

Registration No. 48,346

CANTOR COLBURN LLP

55 Griffin Road South

Bloomfield, CT 06002

Telephone (860) 286-2929

Facsimile (860) 286-0115

Customer No. 23413